At the outset, Applicants note that the changes to the rules of practice in the Claims and Continuations Final Rule provided in 72 Fed. Reg. 46716 and 1322 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 76,

including the October 10 clarifications, did not go into effect on November 1, 2007. See, e.g.,

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/presentation/clmcontfinalrule.html

The Examiner requires restriction between the following claims under 35 USC §§ 121 and 372:

Group I, claims 1- 9 drawn to a suspension concentrate comprising a herbicidally effective amount

of mesotrione.

Group II, claims 1 and 10-11, drawn to a pesticidal composition obtained by diluting a

suspension concentrate comprising a herbicidally effective amount of mesotrione. .

Group III, claims 1.10, and 12-14, drawn to a method for controlling undesired plant

growth of crops of useful plants comprising treating the useful plants, their seeds orseedlings of the

crop area with a pesticidal composition obtained by diluting a suspension concentrate comprising a

herbicidally effective amount of mesotrione.

Group IV, claims 15-27, drawn to a suspoemulsion formulation comprising

(A) a continuous aqueous phase;

(B) a dispersed emulsion phase and an emulsifier: and

(C) a herbicidally effective amount of mesotrione and a dispersing agent

Group V, clams 15 and 28-32, drawn a pesticidal composition obtained by diluting a suspoemulsion

formulation comprising

(A) a continuous aqueous phase;

(B) a dispersed emulsion phase and an emulsifier: and

(C) a herbicidally effective amount of mesotrione and a dispersing agent

and a method for controlling undesired plant growth in crops of useful plants with the said

composition.

Page 2 of 3

Appln. No. 10/580,063

Response Dated December 19, 2007

Reply to the Office action of October 19, 2007

Applicants are required to elect one group for examination even if with traverse. Applicants

hereby elect to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1 - 9, with right of traverse,

Applicants here traverse the requirement for restriction in that the invention primarily lies in

the novel combination of mesotrione having an average particle size of less than 1 micron and a

dispersing agent. Applicants contend that a reasonable search could go to generic claims

embracing the suspension concentrates, including pesticidal compositions and related methods.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the requirement for restriction and

withdrawal of the same. Additionally, the current guidelines on restriction practice recommend the

examination of different sets of claims when such examination would not be unduly burdensome or

prolonged. It is contended that this guideline would apply to the instant set of claims.

Applicants maintain that they have made a complete response to the restriction requirement

of the Examiner and that the instant application and claims are now in condition for examination on

their merits and for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

USPTO Customer No. 26748

Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. Patent and Trademark Dept.

410 Swing Road

Greensboro, NC 27409

(336) 632-7895

Date: December 19, 2007

/William A. Teoli, Jr./ William A. Teoli, Jr.

Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 33,104

Page 3 of 3